

Laura Kelly

From: Susan McCarrell [sbmanse@hotmail.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 10, 2010 8:10 AM
To: Denise Beaston
Subject: Concerns and questions for SMP

Hey Denise,

Here are my questions/concerns to pass on to John regarding the SMP update.

- 1) The designation of "Undeveloped" is ambiguous and leaves the door open for many interpretations. Who came up with this particular terminology? It is not a phrase that David Pater of DOE has ever heard before. He said it is either Natural or Conservancy. The area in question is along May Creek and the current land use designation is "Natural". According to the update, the SMP designation is "currently undeveloped" although there are no indications of that in Section 3.0 tables.
- 2) The maps in Appendix C are vague and some incorrectly marked. Figure 8 (Existing Zoning) has the same area marked as "Residential". Again, it is "Natural".
- 3) There are no wetlands mapped along May Creek in Figure 2.
- 4) There are many inconsistencies throughout the document and still many omissions that need to be filled in.

I have many more concerns but this will have to do for now.

Enjoy the day...

Susan McCarrell 


SSS Landscaping

Gold Bar, WA

Comprehensive Year Round Maintenance
Vegetable/Flower Bed Prep & Construction
Installations, Transplants, Design & More!!!

Gift Certificates Available

Call for your Free Consultation Today!

 **(206) 280-8913**

"It is not enough *understanding* the wilderness,
the point is to *defend* and *protect* it."

--Edward Abbey--

No virus found in this incoming message.

Checked by AVG - www.avg.com

Version: 8.5.449 / Virus Database: 271.1.1/3246 - Release Date: 11/09/10 07:34:00